#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

KLW

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT				
To:  MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. Attn. Bruess, Steven C. P.O. Box 2903  MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402-0903  ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE  14095 00360501 MT5	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION  RESP SRIWO: Taly 15, 2009				
ATY IDS US/PTA: June 14, 2009	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
ATY IDS US: August 15, 2009	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15/05/2009				
Applicant's or agent's file reference					
14095.36WOU1 🗸	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No.	International filing date				
PCT/US2008/085181	(day/month/year) 01/12/2008				
Applicant					
RECEPTORS LLC					
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the appl  4. Reminders  Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone papplication, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bubefore the completion of the technical preparations for international Bureau. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established.	chemin des Colombettes 1–22) 338.82.70 companying sheet.  report will be established and that the declaration under ternational Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.  nal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:  transmitted to the International Bureau together with the est and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.  icant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.  e international application will be published by the publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international reau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, all publication.  written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to				
the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priori Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of som examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the e date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, wi acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Office	e designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary ntry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority				
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of <b>30 months</b> months.	(or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19				
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the <i>PCT Applicant's Guide</i> , Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.					

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Richard George

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19**

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims,description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

### The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
   claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
   "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
   "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
   "Claims 1–10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

#### It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II.

#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER			
14095.36WOU1		see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US2008/085181	01/12/2008			
Applicant				
RECEPTORS LLC				
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searching ansmitted to the International Bureau.	g Authority and is transmitted to the applicant		
This international search report consists o				
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited	in this report.		
Basis of the report				
	international search was carried out on			
	application in the language in which it wa			
a translation of the of a translation fur	e international application into irnished for the purposes of international	, which is the language search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))		
b. This international search r		account the rectification of an obvious mistake		
		closed in the international application, see Box No. I.		
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box No. II)			
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box No III)			
4. With regard to the title,	•			
X the text is approved as sub	bmitted by the applicant			
the text has been establish	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	-		
5. With regard to the abstract,  X the text is approved as sub-	to college of two siles annullness.			
the text has been establish	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this A	authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant		
may, within one month fror	m the date of mailing of this internationa	al search report, submit comments to this Authority		
6. With regard to the drawings,				
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be pt	ublished with the abstract is Figure No	1		
as suggested by th	• •			
	s Authority, because the applicant failed			
<del></del>	s Authority, because this figure better cha e published with the abstract	aracterizes the invention		
- L	published with the aboutage			

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/US2008/085181

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G01N33/543 A61B5 A61B5/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) GO1N A61B Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category\* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages · Relevant to claim No. χ US 4 344 438 A (SCHULTZ JEROME S) 1 - 3017 August 1982 (1982-08-17) abstract column 4, last paragraph χ WO 2006/061207 A (PRECISENSE AS [DK]; 1 - 30KRISTENSEN JESPER SVENNING [DK]: GREGORIUS KLAUS [) 15 June 2006 (2006-06-15) abstract; claims 1-28 Χ EP 0 482 727 A (INST HALBLEITERPHYSIK 1 - 30[DE]) 29 April 1992 (1992-04-29) abstract Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed in the art. \*&\* document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 5 May 2009 15/05/2009 Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040. Weijland, Albert Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/US2008/085181

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family Publicat member(s) date	
US 4344438	A	17-08-1982	NONE		
WO 2006061207	Α	15-06-2006	NONE		
EP 0482727	Α	29-04-1992	DE	4034565 A1	30-04-1992

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (April 2005)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2008/085181 01.12.2008 29.11.2007 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G01N33/543 A61B5/00 Applicant RECEPTORS LLC This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of **Authorized Officer** this opinion European Patent Office

see form

PCT/ISA/210

Weijland, Albert

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7490

D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/085181

Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
oxtimes the international application in the language in which it was filed
a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.   This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorize by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li> </ol>
a. type of material:
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
□ on paper
☐ in electronic form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
$\square$ contained in the international application as filed.
$\Box$ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
4.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/085181

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

<u>1-30</u>

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

<u>1-30</u>

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

<u>1-30</u>

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

The following documents (D) are referred to in this opinion; the numbering will be adhered to the rest of the procedure:

D1: US4344438

D2: WO2006061207

D3: EP482727

#### 1. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The subject matter of claims 1-30 is anticipated by D1 to D3.

D1 (abstract; column 4, last paragraph) describes a system for monitoring low molecular weight compounds in blood plasma by optical means and includes a chamber to be inserted into the blood stream and which contains specific receptor sites. A competitor ligand for the receptor is placed within the chamber, but due to its large molecular size it cannot through a semipermeable membrane ("membrane", "permeable interface" according to claims 1, 10, 17, 22) into the blood stream. Light emitted or absorbed by the competing ligand is a measure of the low molecular weight compound in the blood ("method of determining a level of a ligand of interest" according to claims 10, 22). In the case of a glucose sensor ("ligand", "detector system" according to claim 1), Con-A ("Artificial receptor" according to claims 1, 29, 30) is immobilized in the chamber via a ligand ("building block molecules" in claim 1) and fluorescein labelled dextran ("competitor" according to claims 1, 22, 29, 30), which is incapable of passing the membrane is the competing ligand.

D2 (abstract; claims 1-28) describes a sensor for the detection of a carbohydrate analyte and comprises components of a competitive binding assay, in which the analyte can diffuse ("membrane", "permeable interface" according to claims 1, 10, 17, 22), but not the assay components such as animal lectin and an analyte analogue ("competitor" according to claims 1, 22, 29, 30). The lectin can be for example a mannose binding lectin in trimeric or tetrameric form ("building block molecules" in claim 1).

D3 (abstract) describes a stereospecific sensor using a membrane permeable for sugar, but not permeable for the labelled lectin binding partner or lectin.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2008/085181

2. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as patentable claims, claims 10-16 and 22-28, to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a product, in particular substances or compositions for use in a first or further medical treatment.

In this context the passage "implanting the detector system" in claim 12 and "implanting in a subject a detector system" in claim 22 are considered as methods of treatment by surgery.

Possible steps after receipt of the international search report (ISR) and written opinion of the International Searching Authority (WO-ISA)

#### General information

For all international applications filed on or after 01/01/2004 the competent ISA will establish an ISR. It is accompanied by the WO-ISA. Unlike the former written opinion of the IPEA (Rule 66.2 PCT), the WO-ISA is not meant to be responded to, but to be taken into consideration for further procedural steps. This document explains about the possibilities.

## under Art. 19 PCT

Amending claims Within 2 months after the date of mailing of the ISR and the WO-ISA the applicant may file amended claims under Art. 19 PCT directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. The PCT reform of 2004 did not change this procedure. For further information please see Rule 46 PCT as well as form PCT/ISA/220 and the corresponding Notes to form PCT/ISA/220.

#### Filing a demand for international preliminary examination

In principle, the WO-ISA will be considered as the written opinion of the IPEA. This should, in many cases, make it unnecessary to file a demand for international preliminary examination. If the applicant nevertheless wishes to file a demand this must be done before expiry of 3 months after the date of mailing of the ISR/WO-ISA or 22 months after priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 54bis PCT). Amendments under Art. 34 PCT can be filed with the IPEA as before, normally at the same time as filing the demand (Rule 66.1 (b) PCT).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is filed and no comments/amendments have been received the WO-ISA will be transformed by the IPEA into an IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) which would merely reflect the content of the WO-ISA. The demand can still be withdrawn (Art. 37 PCT).

#### Filing informal comments

After receipt of the ISR/WO-ISA the applicant may file informal comments on the WO-ISA directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. These will be communicated to the designated Offices together with the IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) at 30 months from the priority date. Please also refer to the next box.

#### End of the international phase

At the end of the international phase the International Bureau of WIPO will transform the WO-ISA or, if a demand was filed, the written opinion of the IPEA into the IPRP, which will then be transmitted together with possible informal comments to the designated Offices. The IPRP replaces the former IPER (international preliminary examination report).

#### Relevant PCT Rules and more information

Rule 43 PCT, Rule 43bis PCT, Rule 44 PCT, Rule 44bis PCT, PCT Newsletter 12/2003, OJ 11/2003, OJ 12/2003